




2022 LAKE DISTRICT SURVEY

Summary of Surveys Sent, Received and Completed:

Number of surveys mailed to lake district residents.....	273
Number of surveys that did not reach residents ¹	7
Number of surveys successfully delivered to residents	266
Number of surveys started ³	91
Number of surveys accessed through web link	75
Number of surveys accessed through QR code.....	13
Number of surveys received from requested paper surveys ²	3
Number of surveys completed ³	89
Percentage of lake district residents that completed surveys	32.6%
Percentage of completed surveys compared with those successfully delivered to residents.....	33.5%


Response Volume by Day:



¹ 11 were returned by the USPS, but 4 were successfully redelivered.
² 4 paper surveys were mailed due to requests, but only 3 were completed and returned.
³ 2 people discontinued after question 2, 89 completed the entire survey.

Your Property


1. Which of the following best describes how you use your lake property? (Check One.)



Other:


1. We have no lake access, as our house is not on the lake, rather across the street from houses with lake access. We can somewhat see the lake in the winter when the leaves are gone. It is our primary residence.
2. Do not live on lake property.
3. Primary residence - not directly on lake.

2. How long have you, or your family (immediate or extended), owned property on Cedar Lake? (Check One.)




Recreational Activities

3. How much do you participate in the following recreational activities on Cedar Lake?
(Check one in each row.)



Weighted Average





	NOT AT ALL	A LITTLE	SOME	QUITE A BIT	A GREAT DEAL	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Open-water fishing	17.98% 16	29.21% 26	28.09% 25	17.98% 16	6.74% 6	89	1.66
Ice fishing	44.83% 39	25.29% 22	14.94% 13	9.20% 8	5.75% 5	87	1.06
Personal watercraft (jet skis)	63.64% 56	13.64% 12	12.50% 11	6.82% 6	3.41% 3	88	0.73
Motor boating	11.24% 10	4.49% 4	23.60% 21	32.58% 29	28.09% 25	89	2.62
Non-motorized boating	23.86% 21	20.45% 18	31.82% 28	18.18% 16	5.68% 5	88	1.61
Observing wildlife	4.49% 4	12.36% 11	16.85% 15	35.96% 32	30.34% 27	89	2.75
Wind surfing	87.64% 78	5.62% 5	3.37% 3	2.25% 2	1.12% 1	89	0.24
Swimming	10.11% 9	24.72% 22	29.21% 26	22.47% 20	13.48% 12	89	2.04
Water skiing	50.56% 45	19.10% 17	20.22% 18	8.99% 8	1.12% 1	89	0.91
Sand bar socializing	19.10% 17	25.84% 23	28.09% 25	17.98% 16	8.99% 8	89	1.72
Other (describe below)	71.88% 23	9.38% 3	6.25% 2	3.12% 1	9.38% 3	32	0.69

Other:

1. Carp fishing.
2. Lake gazing.
3. Sit on my dock.
4. SUP and Kayak.
5. Go for walks on the ice.
6. Fishing, observing wildlife.
7. Every day we greet the sunrise.
8. Volunteering, cleaning up after wintertime; AIS monitoring.
9. We are always near or on the lake doing something.
10. Cross Country Skiing, Snowshoeing, Snowmobiling.
11. Pontoon - motor boating - quite a bit.
12. Hunting on my property.
13. Watching all the fun on the dock.

Lake Health & Management

4. To what extent are the following a concern for you on Cedar Lake? (Check one in each row.)



	NOT AT ALL CONCERNED	A LITTLE CONCERNED	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	VERY CONCERNED	EXTREMELY CONCERNED	UNSURE, NEED MORE INFO	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Water quality	1.14% 1	3.41% 3	13.64% 12	43.18% 38	38.64% 34	0% 0	88	3.15
Toxic algae blooms	0% 0	3.37% 3	13.48% 12	24.72% 22	57.30% 51	1.12% 1	89	3.38
Fisheries	5.62% 5	6.74% 6	21.35% 19	38.20% 34	24.72% 22	3.37% 3	89	2.72
Native aquatic plant growth	4.49% 4	7.87% 7	35.96% 32	31.46% 28	16.85% 15	3.37% 3	89	2.5
Invasive aquatic plant growth	1.12% 1	3.37% 3	12.36% 11	37.08% 33	43.82% 39	2.25% 2	89	3.22
Loss of shoreline habitat	7.87% 7	12.36% 11	24.72% 22	34.83% 31	19.10% 17	1.12% 1	89	2.45
Volume of boat traffic	4.49% 4	14.61% 13	24.72% 22	25.84% 23	30.34% 27	0% 0	89	2.63
Noise	10.23% 9	15.91% 14	34.09% 30	23.86% 21	14.77% 13	1.14% 1	88	2.17
Loss of natural scenery	11.24% 10	19.10% 17	26.97% 24	30.34% 27	11.24% 10	1.12% 1	89	2.11
Carp bow fishing	46.07% 41	17.98% 16	19.10% 17	6.74% 6	7.87% 7	2.25% 2	89	1.10
Ice fishing activity	32.58% 29	22.47% 20	22.47% 20	12.36% 11	10.11% 9	0% 0	89	1.45
Personal watercraft (jet skis)	29.21% 26	23.60% 21	22.47% 20	12.36% 11	12.36% 11	0% 0	89	1.55
Litter and garbage around the lake	13.48% 12	16.85% 15	20.22% 18	19.10% 17	30.34% 27	0% 0	89	2.36
Short-term rentals	25.00% 22	25.00% 22	18.18% 16	13.64% 12	17.05% 15	1.14% 1	88	1.72



On-lake parties (barge, sandbar)	19.10% 17	25.84% 23	17.98% 16	16.85% 15	20.22% 18	0% 0	89	1.93
Shoreline erosion	11.24% 10	12.36% 11	21.35% 19	33.71% 30	19.10% 17	2.25% 2	89	2.38
Boat wakes	14.61% 13	19.10% 17	24.72% 22	19.10% 17	22.47% 20	0% 0	89	2.16
Other (describe below)	44.44% 16	5.56% 2	2.78% 1	13.89% 5	30.56% 11	2.78% 1	36	1.8

Other:

1. August "green slime" on the lake.
2. Property value and extra taxes due to required membership in lake district.
3. I'm more concerned about non-resident ice-fishing, wake boats, jet skis, etc. without any fees at the boat launch which would provide income to take care of garbage, litter, boat volume and on-lake parties by non-residents.
4. Boaters from other states can visit this lake for free, property owners pay for the cleanup of the lake (alum). We should have a pay station at landing to charge everyone that comes to the lake.
5. Fishing boats fishing at my dock continuously.
6. Boat launch traffic, traffic, parking and speeds on 10th Ave.
7. Wakeboard boats seem to be eroding our shoreline over the last 3-4 years.
8. Jet skis operating too close to shore, often less than 100ft from shore. Also PWC jumping wakes of other operating boats is a problem.
9. Boat Wakes - primarily the larger surf boats. Noise - more concerned about race track and broadcast music from boats.
10. We are not right on the lake and are concerned, in general, about water quality and preserving the natural habitat etc., but don't want our taxes to go up anymore to pay for treatments of the lake that we do not utilize.
11. Balance of shoreline preservation and shoreline habitat.
12. Very concerned for our fisheries as the amount of fish being pulled from lake seems to be extremely high over last few years (since COVID).
13. Need more native plant buffer zones on shoreline.
14. Protect habitat.
15. Garbage, styrofoam, cans, bottles, from boaters and ice fisher-persons, and driving on Old Cedar Lake Rd.
16. Activities on the Polk Co. property shoreline on the east side of the lake, at the north end, that have destroyed all habitat and decimated the shoreline on the property. Burning of debris is done regularly on the shoreline and the site has become a garbage dump that includes furniture, small appliances, home construction materials (metal door). Even more disturbing, the site has become a hangout for drug dealers and vagrants. This activity has not only destroyed the habitat on the property but is also endangering residents on the east side of the lake who are now even fearful of taking walks on the road alone. Ironically, those same residents are the only ones continuously cleaning up the dump site on the shoreline because there is no one else to do it - it is not a concern or priority for the township or the county.

17. Lack of signage near sand bar warning unfamiliar boaters about shallow water.
18. The road on the East side of the lake is an issue. People drive way too fast. There also appears to be possible drug dealing going on. A ton of litter on the road, empty beer cans and alcohol bottles. I have also had people trespass on my stairs going down to the lake in the winter months despite the no trespassing signs.
19. Large ski/jet boats create huge waves that erode shoreline, waves create havoc with smaller size boats, and they kick up sediment/dirt from lake bottom when operating in shallow waters. I would prefer lake regulation that only allows use/operation in 20+ depth of water, no wake zone in less than 15 feet of water. These boat are more of a nuisance than jet skis. Kicking up dirt from bottom not good for water clarity and curious if it impacts previous alum treatments?
20. Please set aside money to clean up the ice due to ice fishing communities over the cribs that were put in.
21. Boat launch north end parking, parties, garbage. Lack of water patrols on the lake.
22. Water quality.

5. Below is a list of activities that could improve Cedar Lake. Please tell us if you think each activity should be pursued by the Lake District. (Check one in each row.)




	DEFINITELY NO	PROBABLY NO	UNSURE	PROBABLY YES	DEFINITELY YES	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Resident education about lake conditions	0% 0	2.25% 2	13.48% 12	47.19% 42	37.08% 33	89	3.19
Involvement in shoreland zoning	8.99% 8	7.87% 7	23.60% 21	41.57% 37	17.98% 16	89	2.52
Encourage regulation of short-term rentals	11.24% 10	14.61% 13	22.47% 20	28.09% 25	23.60% 21	89	2.38
Boater education at the north landing	1.12% 1	6.74% 6	15.73% 14	42.70% 38	33.71% 30	89	3.01
Funding for shoreland practices	4.49% 4	13.48% 12	25.84% 23	37.08% 33	19.10% 17	89	2.53
Support watershed farm conservation practices	0% 0	3.37% 3	14.61% 13	40.45% 36	41.57% 37	89	3.20
Lake water quality monitoring	1.12% 1	0% 0	5.62% 5	39.33% 35	53.93% 48	89	3.45
Aquatic invasive species decontamination	1.12% 1	1.12% 1	14.61% 13	31.46% 28	51.69% 46	89	3.31
Aquatic invasive species control	1.12% 1	0% 0	6.74% 6	31.46% 28	60.67% 54	89	3.51
Sensitive habitat areas protection	3.37% 3	2.25% 2	16.85% 15	31.46% 28	46.07% 41	89	3.15
Input for DNR fisheries regulations	3.37% 3	5.62% 5	19.10% 17	39.33% 35	32.58% 29	89	2.92
Input for DNR fish stocking	3.37% 3	1.12% 1	15.73% 14	46.07% 41	33.71% 30	89	3.06
Alum treatments	5.62% 5	3.37% 3	11.24% 10	33.71% 30	46.07% 41	89	3.11
Other (describe below)	40.74% 11	0% 0	22.22% 6	3.70% 1	33.33% 9	27	1.89

Other:

1. Speed and noise control on the lake.
2. Fees at launch would provide funding for projects as well as alleviate some of the crowding and traffic issues. Alum treatments?
3. Working with township(s) to implement noise ordinances; working with township(s) to implement parking or boat launch fees.
4. Support from the Lake District board to address the environmental, fish habitat and lake quality concerns related to the unnecessary town road on the northeast shore of the lake.
5. We need to find other and better and less expensive technology, other than continuous alum treatments, to control the algae problem.


6. Collaboration with other area organizations with same goals.
7. Control of number of boats at the boat landing to limit the number of boats on the weekends.
8. Close one or both ends of Old Cedar Lake Rd. on the east side of Cedar Lake.
9. Provide a simple 5 bullet poster on boater education at each boat landing and the same message in Cedar Lake District communication. Many boaters on the lake are completely unaware of fundamental nautical rules of the road such as crossing, right of way, distance to maintain, lights, etc.
10. I believe we should be charging at least \$10 per boat to launch. That could help pay for lake alum treatments, garbage dumpsters at the landing, outhouse at the landing, etc.
11. Covenant so that lakeshore properties do not disrupt the view of non-lakeshore properties.
12.
 - a. Parking limits at north end boat launch.
 - b. VRBO regulations.
 - c. Talk to Polk County about more water patrols - the number of boaters leaving that would qualify for an OUI every Saturday evening is large.
13. Teach homeowners about adverse effects fertilizing and water runoff.
14. Monitoring north shore boat landing.

6. Refer to the provided definitions for information on alum treatments. The special assessment for the alum treatment on Cedar Lake ends in 2026. Maintenance doses may be needed to sustain water quality improvements. To what extent do you support pursuing a continued, reduced, special assessment for alum maintenance doses? (Check one.)



Communication & Member Participation

7. The Lake District plans to move primarily to electronic communication to be more effective and to reduce costs. How do you prefer to get information from the Lake District? (Check 2.)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Electronic newsletter	51.69%	46
Email notices	62.92%	56
Electronic publications	10.11%	9
Website	30.34%	27
Annual meeting presentations	20.22%	18
None of the above	2.25%	2
Other: If desired, provide a short description of 1 or 2 other preferences.	3.37%	3
TOTAL		161

Other:

1. Electronic publications and email notifications could be included via emailed link.
2. Electronic communication is fine for most, but not all. Snail mail must be used for crucial notification.
3. The hard copy letter got us to look at the information/survey. If I had gotten a random email that I didn't sign up for I wouldn't have looked at it.

8. What topics would you like to be covered in Lake District communications? (Check all that apply.)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Shoreline erosion	59.55%	53
Reducing runoff from properties	58.43%	52
Creating shoreland buffer zones and planting native plants	48.31%	43
Updates of Lake District activities	84.27%	75
Shoreland zoning requirements	49.44%	44
Septic system maintenance	48.31%	43
Invasive species control efforts	78.65%	70
Best practices for waterfront property	65.17%	58
Other: If desired, provide a short description of 1-3 other topics.	14.61%	13
TOTAL		451


Other:

1. Leave residents alone.
2. The public should be able to use the lake, of course, but there should be a charge for launching and retrieving boats. The congestion at the launch is a serious problem.
3. Consider a nominal charge for individuals dropping their boats in at the N landing. This would help offset lake costs incurred by owners.
4. Noise and speed management.
5. Launch traffic.
6. Why are residents who have no lake access included in paying for the alum treatments, etc., although I suppose it is too late for that.
7. To keep the communications from getting too lengthy, links to some of these topics would be helpful.
8. Fish stocking (walleye}. No to RV's and Camper access.
9. I think it would be fun to have 1 or 2 annual parties - maybe live music on the sandbar or an annual fireworks display put on by residents. I know there is a 4th of July flotilla but I have no clue where to find the details on how to join. Is it for everyone who lives on the lake? Is it at a certain time each year?
10. Broad and widespread communication before decisions or any vote made by the Lake District financially impact community taxes. Due diligence should be made to make sure everyone has a voice and gets a vote before decisions are made.
11. Current issues facing the health of our lake. How can we protect the enjoyment of the lake by its residents and visitors?

9. Have you ever attended a Lake District annual meeting? (Check one.)



10. If you don't regularly attend what, if anything, would encourage you to attend, or attend more often? (Check all that apply.)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Email reminder of meeting	61.54%	32
Shorter meetings	9.62%	5
Shorter presentations	9.62%	5
More interesting presentations	9.62%	5
None of these	11.54%	6
Other: If desired, provide a short description for 1 or 2 other options.	25.00%	13
TOTAL		66


Other:

1. Not all about fishing.
2. I live too far away to attend. My property does not have lake shoreline.
3. They are always on Saturday, a bad day for me.
4. Will attend. New resident.
5. Not your problem, but it often conflicts with grandson birthday party. If there were no conflict I would be a regular attendee.
6. I attend when I am in residence - quite often I am away at the time of the meeting. I have always enjoyed the presentations at the meetings I have attended.
7. If I had lake access and this affected me more, I would attend.
8. I usually miss these meetings because of company visiting for the weekend. I would appreciate an electronic summary newsletter of what is discussed and voted on. I think you do a good job of informing residents before the meeting, but would love to hear more later.
9. There should be more encouragement for attendance at monthly meetings. That's where things are being discussed and decided.
10. I live in CA, so I'm not in the area often.
11. Zoom.
12. Meeting later in the morning or an evening meeting.
13. Communication of agenda/date/time reminder and issues being discussed and voted upon.

11. Do you volunteer to support Lake District activities? (Check one.)



12. If no what, if anything, would encourage you to volunteer? (Check all that apply.)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Clear descriptions of volunteer tasks	67.21%	41
Contact information for volunteer coordinators	22.95%	14
Short-term time commitment	50.82%	31
Recognition for services	0%	0
Opportunity to socialize with other volunteers	13.11%	8
Ability to work from home	16.39%	10
Other (describe below)	16.39%	10
TOTAL		114

Other:

1. I will be 84 years old this summer, I think you can find someone younger than me.
2. Was on the board and lake chairman for a number of years. Now take care of the dam.
3. I am not in residence on a regular time schedule; that and my age do not allow me to volunteer for projects or programs.
4. I live in CA, so I'm not in the area often.
5. When retired, would have more time to volunteer
6. When are they, what are they, etc. Can't help if I don't know.
7. Understanding when and what volunteer opportunities exist. Communication of any needs is the first step.

13. In the space below, please include any other comments you may have regarding the lake, or the activities of the Lake District.

Community & Volunteerism

1. Love the Lake and the people that surround us.
2. My father-in-law has been an active supporter of the Cedar Lake association, and my wife and I would like to continue that support.
3. Consider organizing or leading events that give residents a sense of community on the lake and you may realize better volunteerism, cooperation for protection, rehabilitation and maintenance of the lake when people come together. Learn from other Lake Districts and Associations who are very good at community. It can be simple and inexpensive, but go a long way in bringing people together for the benefit of the lake. Example: Wapogasset/BearTrap has a lighting of the lake event over the 4th of July weekend. Just one of many things they do to encourage community, fun and making memories on the lake. See their website and newsletter.
4. More involvement from the owners is needed. Email reminders of monthly meetings to encourage more attendance from owners. The board represents a small demographic of lake ownership.

Erosion

5. I am concerned about shoreline erosion.

Fees for Lake Use

6. Research other lakes that charge a fee to launch a boat and/or bring an ice house on the lake, and consider it for Cedar Lake.
7. Charge boaters to use the public landing.

Fishing

8. Ice fishing has had an effect on the fishery. The cribs were a great addition but now have created a new problem with over fishing in the winter months. Stocking or limits need to be put in place.
9. I am concerned about monitoring of lake by game warden (fishing rules).
10. The changing fisheries in the lake needs to be examined.

Meetings, Planning & Operations

11. What are (say) the top 3 clearly stated goals /results the district wants to track and achieve?
12. It would be a good idea to provide a historical perspective on the activities the Lake District / CLP&RD board has conducted over its history (i.e., how the Board activities have changed, grown over the decades).
13. We know that it is a long tradition of the Lake District annual meeting held on the first Saturday in August. Since the birth of our grandson, we return to South Dakota for his birthday, so we have been unable to attend the last few years. Would love to be able to watch the meeting remotely and cast votes (when issues are addressed and voted on) through absentee ballot. We have supported past initiatives concerning the lake and will continue to do so.
14. Make web site mobile friendly.

Noise, Litter & Abuse

15. Some ice fisherman seem to leave a lot of garbage and litter.
16. Consider closing E Cedar Lake road (east side of the lake) to the public. This would eliminate trash, abuse of the shoreline and loitering during all seasons.
17. Increasingly more rude and inconsiderate people, loud music, foul loud language, over fishing limit. Majority of them come from off lake visitors.

18. I believe we potentially need some police/DNR involvement to monitor the excessive partying at the sandbar, drinking and boating, music that is way too loud, too many wakeboarding boats/jet skis driving recklessly, people taking too many fish (especially during ice fishing). There does not appear to be anybody monitoring anything to ensure people are being safe but still having fun.
19. The boat launch at the north end is a huge concern. Parking is out of control, small children live close by and there is trailer parking on both sides-a recipe for disaster. Too many people that don't care about the boat landing, need to enforce littering, public indecency and alcohol consumption. We saw a Sheriff there last week (May 14) - a cool Saturday-that is not when it is busy, come back on a warm summer day or evening.
20. Work with Polk County to patrol our lake it would only take a few times to make people aware that the patrol is there. I've been on the lake for 14 years and seen the sheriff or DNR officers on the lake maybe 4-5 times total. It doesn't seem like more coverage would be too much to ask. Having a Polk county officer sit at the boat launch at dusk on a weekend might be enough. The incidents I have seen - intoxicated boaters coming off the lake.
The word is out Cedar is a nice lake and it's bringing more people more boats, and unfortunately with the improvements comes more issues.
21. Parties on the sandbar. I wouldn't take my kids there anymore, sad.

Ordinances, Governing & Taxes

22. My concern is out-of-state rentals and owners not going by Wisconsin rules such as fishing licenses and boat registrations. Need more education to them. This is a Wisconsin lake.

Ordinances, Governing & Taxes

23. We do not need more ordinances or governing by the Lake District.
24. I think it is a good effort to be trying to improve the natural condition of your lake. I, however have almost nothing to do with the lake as I live too far away and do not have shoreline. I am forced to be a part of this due to my small parcel nearby and forced to pay additional taxes for something that has little to do with me.

Praise for Work Well Done

25. Appreciate workers of the lake district!! They deserve more recognition.
26. Well done so far ... Cedar Lake requires constant attention from those who live on it and those who use it.
27. Thank you for your leadership in managing out lake health and water quality. It is very important to me as a homeowner.
28. I appreciate the work by the board to improve water quality - thank you.
29. Thank you to all who help to improve the quality of our lake!!
30. I appreciate the activity and diligence of this group. You have my support.
31. I have been very pleased with the activities of the Lake Association over many years. I am supportive of any efforts to protect the Lake for present and future generations.
32. I am very impressed with the Lake District Committee. You're proactive with issues and communicate them well to residents. Thank you for all that you do!
33. Thank you to the CLP&RD board for all the hard work to keep our beautiful lake clean, healthy and accessible.
34. I appreciate the time given by the board and volunteers.
35. Thank you to all who volunteer their time for the Cedar Lake Protection and Rehabilitation.
36. I think you people have been doing a great job. The lake is much cleaner than 6 years ago.
37. Thank you for all that you are doing. We are new to the lake and are impressed by your efforts and dedication.

38. Water quality vastly improved from 20 years ago. I hope that continues. The board gets an A+++ in my opinion.
39. The efforts of the Lake District leadership are appreciated.

Traffic

40. Most traffic on the lake is not from property owners.
41. Are wake boats suitable for our size lake?
42. I am concerned about jet skis following lake guidelines (distance from shore.)
43. I am concerned about the number of boats accessing lake at north end.
44. One or both ends of Cedar Lake Road should be closed off to all but local residents (locked gate).
45. Have witnessed skiing and wake board use too close to docks and shoreline. Getting more prevalent year by year. Need to remind boaters of wake rules near shore.
46. A lot more boat traffic - increasing the chance for invasive species, increased shore erosion, washed up garbage (more in the past years), decreased enjoyment of the lake with the number of boats.
47. North end boat launch - unlimited # parking all up and down 10th - seems simple, a few no parking signs limited boat and trailer parking would help reduce all these issues. Need to work with the DNR on finishing their plan for the boat launch parking lot, paved with designated parking spots.

Water Quality & Invasive Species

48. I see no improvement in water quality.
49. Water quality is #1. Stop the runoff from the stream/ farms.
50. The water treatments are working well. Expensive but working.
51. I am concerned about algae, specifically toxic.
52. Concerned that continued lake water quality efforts remain affordable.
53. It bothers me when people rake their leaves into the lake.
54. I am concerned about outdated septic systems and inspections.
55. The Alum Treatment has had a very positive effect on the water clarity.
56. Is there an electronic access point capturing both current water quality and depth levels?
57. Alum treatment appears to only help prevent algae growth during months of May-Mid Aug. We live on NE side of lake and every late summer/fall (since 2018) we get thick green algae in shallows on calm (no wind) days. Wind/waves breaks it up eventually.
58. Alum treatment and invasive species work always seem to dominate the activities but not clear if results are worth investment (realizing it takes time to know).